



UNITED STATE DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR				ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
9/466,369	12/15/99	SINGH			S	ACBI.031.01U
_				\neg		EXAMINER
HM12/1106 ' BERTRAM I ROWLAND PH D RAE VENTER LAW GROUP P C					SISSON	, B
					ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
P 0 B0X 60039 PALO ALTO CA 94306-0039				1655	W	
-HLO HLIO OF					DATE MAILED): 11/06/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
	•	09/466,369	SINGH, SHARAT
•	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Office Action Summary	- " (Cinon	1655
	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address
A SHC THE N - Extens after S - If the - If NO - Failur	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL IAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio e to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu- sply received by the Office later than three months after the mail d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	.136(a). In no event, however, may ply within the statutory minimum of d will apply and will expire SIX (6) Note, cause the application to becoming date of this communication, even	thirty (30) days will be considered timely. MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Ot	6 and 21 August 2001	
2a)□	ah\⊠ .	This action is non-final.	the presention as to the merits is
3)	This action is FINAL . Since this application is in condition for allo closed in accordance with the practice und	wance except for formal er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935	matters, prosecution as to the monte is 5 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposit	ion of Claims		
4)🛛	Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the applicat	tion.	
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without	drawn from consideration	
5)[Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)	interpreted		
7)[(Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction ar	nd/or election requiremen	и.
Applica	tion Papers		
1 _	- view in abjected to by the Exam	niner.	Eveniner
10)[:-/ara: a) [:	accented or b)l I objected t	0 by the Examiner.
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are. a) of Applicant may not request that any objection	to the drawing(s) be held in	abeyance. See 37 OTT 1.00(9).
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on _	is. a) approved .	7) 🗀 11
1	If approved, corrected drawings are required	in reply to the office	ı .
12)[The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner.	
	a. a. ac 440 and 120		10 0 5 110(a)-(d) or (f)
13)	y under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	oreign priority under 35 U	1.5.0. 9 113(4)-(4) 01 (1).
10)[None of:		
	usi a reise of the priority docu	ıments have been receiv	ed.
	المملم بالشاء في المانية	ments have been receiv	ed III Application 110
	 Copies of the certified copies of the application from the Internation 	e priority documents flav nal Bureau (PCT Rule 17	(.2(a)). ies not received.
	* See the attached detailed Office action for	omestic priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application)
14)	 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for do a) ☐ The translation of the foreign langua 	nge provisional applicatio	n has been received.
15)	a)	Officatio priority areas	
	nment(s)	4) []	Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)
	Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO- Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper	948) 5)	Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Other:
3)	Internation		Part of Paper No. 16

Page 2 Application/Control Number: 09/466,369

Art Unit: 1655

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Prosecution Application

The request filed on 06 August 2001 for a Continued Prosecution Application (CPA) 1. under 37 CFR 1.53(d) based on parent Application No. 09/466,369 is acceptable and a CPA has been established. An action on the CPA follows.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all 2. obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- The factual inquiries set forth in Graham v. John Deere Co., 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 3. (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - Determining the scope and contents of the prior art. 1.
 - Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue. 2.
 - Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art. 3.
 - Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- Claims 1-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Still in view 4. of Chenchik et al., Benson et al., Rothman et al., and Heller.
- Still discloses the use of labeled solid supports, or beads or particles, to which are 5. attached chemical moieties. The type of label attached thereto encodes the chemical composition

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/466,369

Art Unit: 1655

bound to the support. As set forth at page 157, right column, second paragraph: "Thus, while a library member itself may not be analyzable, it is possible to associate certain unique chemical markers or *tags* with that library member to specify identity."

- 6. Still does not disclose the use of lanthanides as labels or the combined use of lanthanides with fluorescent dyes or of performing multiplexing assays.
- 7. Chenchik et al., discloses performing multiplex assays with an array format and the use of a variety of dyes, including lanthanides (see columns 9 and 10).
- 8. Benson et al., teaches the use of multiple color fluorophores in the context of an automated DNA sequencing method wherein each color is representative of a specific nucleotide base. The concept of a multiplex reaction is discussed and that the four-color label approach to sequencing is conducted in a single tube (see column 1).
- 9. Benson et al., do not disclose using lanthanides as labels or in combination with fluorophores.
- 10. Rothman et al., column 10, fourth and fifth paragraphs, discloses the use of fluorophores in a variety of assay formats, stating: "The main types of fluorophores currently used as labels in such assays¹ are fluorescein, rhodamine, umbelliferone, and lanthanide chelates and the derivatives of these compounds." At lines 46-49 the wavelengths the above-identified fluorescent compounds is provided.
- 11. Rothman et al., do not suggest the use of both fluorescent dyes with lanthanide dyes.
- 12. Heller, column 5, second paragraph, like Rothman et al., speak in terms of the equivalency fluorescent compounds, identifying a variety of fluorescent compounds as well as

Application/Control Number: 09/466,369

Art Unit: 1655

lanthanide dyes. Also suggested in said paragraph is the use of "two or more fluorophores per probe."

- 13. In view of the totality of the prior art of record, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have used lanthanide dyes as a detectable label in multiplex assays as the prior art clearly teaches the use of multiple fluorophores in a multiplex assay and the equivalency of lanthanide dyes to other known fluorophores. Said ordinary artisan would have also been motivated to have optionally combined more than one dye per solid support and that the different dyes need not all be lanthanides but could reasonable contain any of the known fluorophores identified in the art, including fluorescent dyes. Said ordinary artisan would have also been motivated, on the basis of commercial expediency and convenience, to have developed kits comprised of such particles, dyes, as well as labeled particles.
 - 14. For the above reasons and in the absence of convincing evidence to the contrary, the invention of claims 1-18 is suggested by the prior art of record.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bradley L Sisson whose telephone number is (703) 308-3978. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30 a.m. to 5 p.m., Monday through Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, W. Gary Jones can be reached on (703) 308-1152. The fax phone numbers for the

¹ Rothman et al., column 10, lines 25-27, identifies the assays as being "immunoassays and other analytical assays

Art Unit: 1655

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-3592 for regular communications and (703) 308-0294 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Bradley L Sisson Primary Examiner Art Unit 1655

bls November 5, 2001